

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 3812 of 2015**

Suresh Kumar Patel, A.S.I. (M) S/O Shri Chandrashekhar Prasad Patel,
Aged About 23 Years, Posted in the Office of Superintendent of Police, Bi-
laspur, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- **Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Finance Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Secretary, Department Of Home Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. Director General Of Police, Police Headquarters, Civil Lines, Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Superintendent Of Police, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
5. Joint Director, (Treasury, Accounts & Pension) Bilaspur Division, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mrs.Naushina Ali, Advocate
For Respondents	:	Mr. Yashwant Singh Thakur, Dy.Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal

Order on Board

26/10/2015

1. Learned counsel appearing for the parties submit that the present writ petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court in WP(S) No.4523 of 2014 (Francis Xavier Back and others vs. State of Chhattisgarh and others), decided on 3.7.2015. Paragraph 10 of report states as under:-

10. In view of what has been urged by the learned counsel appearing for both the parties, all the writ petitions are disposed of in the following terms.

- The Department of Home, Government of Chhattisgarh shall constitute a committee consisting of senior IPC Officers not below the rank of ADGP and a senior officer of

the Finance Department not below the rank of Additional Secretary.

- The petitioners shall submit a representation before the said committee within a period of one month from today.
- The committee shall examine the issue as to whether the respective cases of the petitioners are similar to **Abdul Nawab Khan** (Supra). If the petitioners are similar to those petitioners, they shall be entitled to all such benefits which are admissible to those petitioners on the subject issue.

2. As agreed and stated by learned counsel appearing for the parties, the present writ petition is finally disposed of in terms of para 10 as quoted hereinabove. No order as to cost (s).

**Sd/-
(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
JUDGE**

B/-