

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 3638 of 2015**

1. Lawkant Dwivedi S/o Late Kedarnath Dwivedi, Aged About 37 Years, Teacher (Panchayat), Govt. Middle School, Amne, Block Takhatpur, Civil And Revenue Distt. Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. Sudhakar Rao @ B. Sudhakar, S/o Shri B.K. Rao, Aged About 33 Years, Teacher (Panchayat), Govt. Middle School, Amne, Block Takhatpur, Civil And Revenue District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Collector, Distt. Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. The District Education Officer, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Jila Panchayat, Bilaspur, Through The Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
5. Janpad Panchayat, Takhatpur, Through The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)

**---- Respondents**

**And**

**WPS No. 3642 of 2015**

Smt. Mili Dwivedi, aged about 32 years, W/o Lawkant Dwivedi, Lecturer (Panchayat), Govt. High School, Belpan, Block Takhatpur, Civil and Revenue District Bilaspur (C.G.)

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, New Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Collector, Distt. Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. The District Education Officer, District Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)
4. Jila Panchayat, Bilaspur, Through The Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

---

|                      |                                                                           |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioners      | Mr. Ravindra Agarwal, Advocate                                            |
| For Respondent/State | Mr. P.K. Bhaduri, Government Advocate and<br>Mr. Sangharsh Pandey, Dy. GA |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**  
**Order On Board**

**8/10/2015**

Heard finally with the consent of learned counsel for the parties.

(2) Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that by the impugned order, the petitioners are posted at Government High School, Hardi, whereas, there is no High School at Hardi.

(3) In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that in the event, the petitioners prefer representations before the Collector, Bilaspur within 02 weeks from today, the representations shall be decided by the said authority, at the earliest, preferably within a period of 06 weeks thereafter.

(4) With the aforesaid observations, the writ petitions stand finally disposed of .

(5) It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authority shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

Judge

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)