

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.3626 of 2015**

Mritunjaya Behra, S/o Shri Shashi Bhusan Behra, aged about 48 years, Presently posted and working as Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Khedama, Block/Janpad Panchayat Lailunga, District Raigarh (C.G.)
---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat & Rural Development, Mahanadi Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur.
2. Director, Directorate of Panchayat & Rural Development, Chhattisgarh, Indrawati Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office & Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur.
3. Collector, Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.)
4. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Raigarh (C.G.)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri B.D. Guru, Advocate.
For Respondents	:	Shri Anupam Dubey, Deputy Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****15/10/2015****(1)** Heard.

(2) Shri Anupam Dubey, learned Deputy Government Advocate, submits that the issue raised in this petition stands concluded by the decision of a coordinate Bench of this Court rendered in W.P. (S) No.6613/2014 (Punam Shrivastava V. State of Chhattisgarh and

others) decided on 14.05.2015 in which His Lordship has held in para 9 as under :-

“Thus, the word in singular would include plural and vice versa, therefore, the word 'district' occurring in Rule 27 would mean the districts coming within the jurisdiction of a particular Zila Panchayat. Thus, if there are more than one revenue districts falling within particular Zila Panchayat, the employees working therein can be transferred from one Janpad Panchayat to another Janpad Panchayat even if the two Janpad Panchayats are falling within the jurisdiction of two different revenue districts”.

(3) Thus, the point raised in this writ petition has already been decided as such, the petition deserves to be dismissed and is accordingly dismissed at the admission stage without notice to the other side. However, this order will not bar the petitioner from making appropriate representation to the competent authority in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-