

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 1536 of 2015**

M/s. Bharti Chemicals, Through its Proprietor Shri Ajay Kumar Agrawal son of Shri C.S.Agrawal, aged about 39 years, Plot No. 109-F Sector B, Sirgitti Industrial Area, Tifra, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh Civil and Revenue District Bilaspur.

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited, a subsidiary of the Coal India Limited, a Government of India undertaking, through its Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Vasant Vihar, Seepat Road, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
2. The General Manager (MM)/HOD Tender Inviting Authority, SECL Seepat Road, Bilaspur, 495006 Chhattisgarh
3. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Industry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Secretariat, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Mine Aid Products, through Ms. Shalini Gupta Wife of Shri Vipul Gupta, aged about 45 years, Plot No. 25/C, Tifra Industrial Area of CSIDC Limited, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Prashat Jayaswal, Senior Advocate with Shri Himanshu Sinha, Advocates.
For Respondent No. 1 & 2	:	Shri R.K.Gupta, Advocate.
For Respondent No. 3	:	Shri UNS Deo, Government Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Navin Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri P. Sam Koshy, J.

Order on Board**Per Navin Sinha, Chief Justice****28/08/2015**

1. We have heard Learned Counsel for the parties.
2. With reference to the earlier order dated 16.4.2015 in Writ Petition (C) No. 647 of 2015, Learned Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 submits that the representation of the Petitioner was itself filed belatedly 1½ months later. The Petitioner has also represented before the Grievance Redressal Cell of the Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Government of India. The response of Respondent No. 1 has already been submitted on 27.7.2015.

3. In view of the fact that the concerned Ministry is already in seisin of the matter, we are not persuaded with the submission on behalf of the Petitioner that the communication dated 17.6.2015 from the Ministry is in the nature of any positive direction to Respondent No. 1 at this stage.

4. It is expected that appropriate orders by the Grievance Redressal Cell shall be passed with utmost expeditiousness so as not to render the representation of the Petitioner futile by mere passage of time.

5. The writ petition stands disposed.

Sd/-

(Navin Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-

(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE