

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 2644 of 2015**

- Smt. Anusuiya Dhurve W/o Shri Suraj Singh Aged About 49 years, Head Master, Presently Posted Govt. Primary School, Pandariya R/o Pandariya, Block Bodla Police Station - Rengakhar, Civil & Revenue District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through -Secretary, Department of Education Mantralaya, Mahandi Bhawan, Naya Raipur. District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. District Education Officer Kawardha District Kawardha Chhattisgarh
3. Joint Director Account Treasury & Pension, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Ajit Singh, Advocate
For Respondents/State	:	Shri YS Thakur, Dy. AG for the State

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****27/07/2015**

1. By this petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to pay two advance increments on passing of D.Ed. examination; on her own cost, after seeking permission from the department.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in the present case is no longer *res integra* as the same has been considered and decided by the Supreme Court in *Asha Saxena Vs. State of M.P. & Ors.*¹, as well as by this Court in *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg* and another² and *Gopesh Kumar Verma vs. The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*³.

1 2009 (III) MPJR (SC) 59

2 2006 (II) MPJR –CG 96

3 *WP(S) No. 4310 of 2005*

3. Learned counsel further submits that the instant petition is squarely covered by the decision as aforestated. Thus, the petitioner may be permitted to make a representation to the respondents to decide her case for grant of two increments in the light of aforestated decisions, subject to verification of the facts.
4. Learned State counsel appearing for the State/respondents submits that in the event, the petitioner makes a representation, the same will be considered and decided, in accordance with law in the light of decisions of the Supreme Court in Asha Saxena (supra) as well as this Court in Yashwant Kumar Bhardwaj (supra) and Gopesh Kumar Verma (supra), within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation.
5. In view of foregoing, according to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, nothing survives in this petition for adjudication.
6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

ashu