

S

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTAREGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.[C] No. 784 /2015

PETITIONER

Rekha Yadav D/o Late Ram Dular
Yadav , aged about 39 years R/o
Kaidi Muda , Raigarh, Thana
Raigarh , Tah. & Distt. Raigarh [C.G.]

WPC-784/15
R.No. 8105/15
Presented by Shri. N. K. Mahiya
Dated 20/4/15

VERSUS

RESPONDENT

1. Abdul Salim S/o Abdul Haneef
Aged about 51 years, R/o Bajirao
Mahrapara, Thana & Distt. Raigarh
[Chhattisgarh]
2. B. Ramlugudu S/o Shri Anjagud
Post Lakshhaped, Dubuk Distt.
Medak [Andhra Pradesh]
3. Devagod S/o Gangagud Post
Kotekaple M.D.L. Gambhi Rao Distt.
Karimengar [Hydrabad]
4. State of Chhattisgarh through the
Collector Raigarh, Thana &
Distt . Raigarh [C.G.]
5. The Superintendent of Police Raigarh
Office of Superintendent of Police
Raigarh [C.G.]

[Respondent no. 2 to 5 were not arrayed as
party in the court below by the Respondent
no.1 but the petitioner herein added them as
a necessary party reason mentioned in the
Para 2 of the instant Writ Petition]

6. The Sub Divisional Officer [Revenue]
Sub Division Raigarh Thana & Distt.
Raigarh [C.G.]



Apas

6

②

WRIT PETITION A/U 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA




38

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक... W.P.(C) No. 784/2015

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>S.B.: HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE PRITINKER DIWAKER</u> <u>04.05.2015</u> Shri N.K.Malviya, counsel for the petitioner. Smt. Smiti Sharma, Dy. G.A. for the State. Shri Malviya fairly submits that against the order impugned dated 5.9.14 passed by the Sub Divisional Officer the petitioner has an alternative remedy. He submits that for challenging the said order, the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw this petition with the aforesaid liberty. He however submits that every day the petitioner is being harassed by respondent No.1 and therefore the petitioner may also be permitted to file appropriate application before S.P. Raigarh seeking protection. State counsel has no objection to the above preposition as put forth by the petitioner. She however submits that while availing the alternative remedy, the petitioner can also pray for interim relief and can seek direction from the authority seeking protection of his legal rights. Be that as it may, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with the aforesaid liberty. In the eventuality of availing the alternative remedy, it is expected from the authority concerned to decide the same in accordance with law.	



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक.....सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	The petitioner would also be at liberty approach the competent authority for protection of his legal rights. It is made clear that this Court has not observed anything on merits of the case and it would be for the authority concerned to act in accordance with law.	Sd/- Pritinker Diwaker Judge