

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (C) No. 2289 of 2015**

Vijay Advertisers & Consultancy Through : Its Proprietor Vijay Deshmukh, S/o Shri Nilkanth Rao Deshmukh Aged About 35 Years, R/o. E W S 67, Nehru Nagar, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through : Secretary Urban Administration And Welfare Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

And

Writ Petition (C) No. 2294 of 2015

M/s Preeti Publicity Enterprises Through : The Proprietor Anil Kumar Tiwari, Aged 45 Years, S/o Shri Chaturbhuj Tiwari F A 313, Sun Block, Power Heights Colony Niharika Road, Korba Distt Korba Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through : The Secretary To The Government Of Chhattisgarh Urban Administration Department Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Bilaspur Distt. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Respective Petitioners:-	Shri Anup Majumdar and Shri Anurag Dayal Shrivastava, Advocates.
For Respondent/State:-	Shri UNS. Deo, Government Advocate.
For Municipal Corporation:-	Shri AS. Kachhwaha, Advocate.

Hon'ble The Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

Per Navin Sinha, Chief Justice

21/12/2015

1. Regretting the error committed in the affidavit by the Respondents, leave is sought to withdraw the affidavit filed today.
2. Permission is granted. Let the original affidavit be returned to the Additional Advocate General.
3. Shri Narendra Singh Chouhan, the Officer-in-Charge of the case and Assistant Health Officer in the Municipal Corporation and who is also dealing with the tender in question as was informed to us by him during the proceedings, is present and informs us that the Respondent/Corporation shall not finalize the tender without consideration of the representation filed by the Petitioners on 5.12.2015.
4. We put a specific question to him in presence of the Learned Counsel for the Corporation if he has been authorized to make this statement or not. He assured us that as the Officer-in-Charge of the case, he is not making any statement before us without obtaining proper instructions from the concerned authority.
5. In view of the specific statement made by Shri Chouhan, no further orders need be passed by us.
6. The Writ Petitions stand disposed in the aforesaid terms.

Sd/-
(Navin Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE