

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 169 OF 2015

PETITIONER : Padum Pratap Singh Paikra,
son of Shri Mohpal Singh
Paikra, aged about 51 years,
Occupation - Service,
Presently working as
Assistant Engineer, Public
Health Engineering
Department, Block Raigarh,
resident of Bankimongra, P.O.
Mongra, P.S. Katghora,
District Korba (CG)

Civil & Revenue District
Raigarh.


Vs

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
through the Secretary,
Ministry of Public Health
Engineering, Mahanadi
Bhawan, Secretariat, Naya
Raipur.

2. Engineer-in-Chief, Public
Health Engineering
Department, Raipur.

3. Executive Engineer, Public
Health Engineering
Department, Block
Raigarh, District Raigarh.

4. Shri T.R. Rathia, Sub
Engineer, Public Health
Engineering Department,
Block Dharamjaigarh,
District Raigarh.



2

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



29

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Writ Petition (S) No. 169 of 2015

Petitioner

Padum Pratap Singh Paikra

VERSUS

Respondents

State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present: Shri Sandeep Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Rahul Tamaskar, PL for the State.

Oral Order
(21.01.2015)

The limited prayer of the petitioner through the instant petition is that the respondents may consider his case by posting him as Asstt. Engineer at Dharamjaigarh Block.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that presently, respondent No.4 has been made In-Charge of Dharamjaigarh Block and he is a person who is much junior to the petitioner and that though the petitioner is posted in the same Division, but he is not being considered for being posted as Asstt. Engineer at Dharamjaigarh Block.

2. However, on perusal of the record, it appears that the petitioner has till date, not made a detailed representation to the higher officers in the Department and has only tried to pursue a remedy by making the representation to the Chief Minister's Office and to the Minister.

3. In view of above, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to make a fresh representation raising his grievance to respondents No.2 & 3 who in turn, shall decide the same in accordance with law on its own merits.

4. Needless to mention that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and in case the petitioner makes a representation within a period of 3 weeks from today, the State Government, on receipt of the said representation, shall take a decision on the same within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge