

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 4027 of 2015**

Padma Choubey W/o Shri Shailesh Choubey, Aged About 41 Years
Presently Posted As Teacher (Panchayat) At Government Girls Middle
School Mopka, Bilha District Bilaspur, R/o Bangali Para, Naya
Sarkanda P S Sarkanda, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of
School Education, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat Bilaspur, District Bi-
laspur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The District Education Officer, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (Chhattis-
garh)
5. The Block Education Officer, Bilha, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
6. Mira Tiwari, Presently Posted As Teacher (Panchayat) At Govern-
ment Girls Middle School Mopka, Bilha, District Bilaspur, Chhattis-
garh
7. Durga Sarkar, Posted As Upper Division Teacher At Government
Girls Middle School Mopka, Bilha District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh
8. Pratima Bole, Posted As Upper Division Teacher At Government
Girls Middle School Mopka, Bilha District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Matin Siddique, Advocate
For Respondents	: Mr. Varun Sharma, Panel Lawyer
No.1, 2, 4 and 5	

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order on Board****06/11/2015**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the
respondent No.5/Block Education Officer, Bilha declared the petitioner

surplus and she has been transferred from Government Middle Girls School, Mopka to Government Middle School, Pharhada by the respondent No.4/District Education Officer, Bilaspur, however, juniors of the petitioner i.e. respondents No.6 to 8 have been retained at Government Middle Girls School, Mopka. He would further submit that the petitioner will permit to make a representation highlighting and pointing out the illegality in the order of transfer before the respondent No.2.

2. Be that as it may, the petitioner is permitted to make a representation raising her all grievances before the respondent No.2 and in turn, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner on its own merit and in accordance with law within a period of one month.

3. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition finally stands disposed of. No order as to cost(s).

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)

JUDGE

B/-