

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 6333/2014

- PETITIONERS
- 1 Chamar Singh Sarthi, aged about 38 years,
S/o Nanbutiya Sarthi, R/o Post Chatamuda, Vikas
Khand Pusaur, Thana Tahsil and Distt. Raigarh
posted as Class IV employee at I.T.I. Kharod,
Distt. Janjgir Champa
 2. Vijay Kumar Pankaj, aged about 45 years, S/o
Late Ramnarayan, Village Singhanpur, Post
Pasid, Thana Koshir, Tahsil Sarangarh, Distt.
Raigarh. posted as Class IV employee at I.T.I.
Khamariya Sipat Distt. Bilaspur
 3. Mannulal Sahu, aged about 43 years, S/o
Jagannath, R/o Village Post Nandani-
khundani, Thana Nandani Nagar, Tahsil
Dhamda, Distt. Durg. posted as Class IV
employee at I.T.I. Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur
 4. Smt Ganga Sahu, aged about 37 years, W/o
Santosh Nirmalkar, R/o Sidhi Colony, Champa,
Thana Champa, Distt. Janjgir- Champa.
posted as Class IV employee at I.T.I. Pathariya
Distt. Mungeli.
 5. Smt Meena Sidar, aged about 32 years, W/o
Ramadhar Sidar, R/o Village Jhidatpur Post
and Thana Kota, Distt. Bilaspur posted as Class
IV employee at I.T.I. Pathariya Distt. Mungeli.
 6. Smt Sadhana Chauhan, aged about 33 years,
W/o Pitarsingh Chauhan, R/o Village Bhatkonda
Post Barnaidadar, Thana Sakrajauk, Via
Jagdishpur, Distt. Mahasamund posted as
Class IV employee at I.T.I. Kharod,
Distt. Janjgir Champa

P.R. No. 6333/14
Presented by Shri Parag Kotey
dated 21.12.14



Parag

(7)
(2)

VERSUS

- RESPONDENTS
1. The State of Chhattisgarh through its
Secretary, Employment and Training
Welfare Department, Sanchanalaya,
Naya Raipur
 2. Director, Employment and Training
Welfare Department, Sanchanalaya,
Naya Raipur
 3. Joint Director, Employment and
Training Welfare Department,
Sanchanalaya, Naya Raipur

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**Single Bench:** Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Writ Petition (S) No. 6333 of 2014****Petitioners**

Chamar Singh Sarthi and others

VERSUS**Respondents**

State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present: Shri Parag Kotecha, counsel for the petitioners.
Shri Vaibhav Anant Goverdhan, PL for the State.

Oral Order
(30.01.2015)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought for a direction to the respondents to consider their case for regularization in service.

2. According to counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners were granted appointment vide order dated 16.10.07 (Annexure P-1) on temporary basis at Collector rate as their names were sponsored from the employment exchange.

3. The grievance of the petitioners is that on the same day i.e. on 16.10.2007 itself, another bunch of 54 persons similarly placed and who were also the candidates from the employment exchange were granted appointment on regular basis and that no reason has been assigned by the State Government as to why the petitioners have not been considered at par with the persons who have been appointed on regular basis.

4. However, from the record it appears that except for one representation which was made on 19.03.2013 (Annexure P-6), there was no other representation made by the petitioners and even the said representation dated 19.3.2013 is not having details except for the reference of the two orders of appointment.

5. In view of above, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would be served if, at this juncture, the petitioners are directed to move to the respondents by making a fresh representation raising their claims for regularization giving full details on the basis of which they are claiming

the benefit of regularization within a period of 15 days from today and on such representation being made, the State Government shall take a decision on its own merits within a further period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation.

6. Needless to mention that it is expected that the State Government would objectively decide the representation moved by the petitioners.

7. With the above observation, the instant Writ Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge

Priya