

C.G. 15/1

Single Bench

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**CONTEMPT CASE (C) NO. 213 OF 2014**

**PETITIONERS :**

1. Shirish Pathak, S/o. Shri Prabhaskar Pathak, Aged About- 6 Years,
2. Prabhaskar Pathak, S/o. Shri Pandit Kanti Kumar Pathak, Aged About- 43 Years, Occupation- Occupation- Journalist (Press Reporter)

P.R.No. ....  
Presented by Shri .....  
dated .....  
C.G. 23/1/14  
Rajesh Singh  
20/1/14

As the Petitioner No. 1 is a minor and is represented through his natural guardian (Father) Shri Prabhaskar Pathak.

Both the Petitioners are resident of Rohinipuram Gate, Behind Ayurvedic College, G.E. Road Raipur, Post- R. S. University, P.S. Saraswati Nagar, Tehsil- Raipur, Revenue and Civil District- Raipur (C.G.).


**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS :**

- (1) T. S. Singh Deo, President

**CONTEMNORS**

Managing Committee, Raj Kumar College, G.E. Road Raipur, Tehsil- Raipur, Revenue & Civil District- Raipur (C.G.).



*[Signature]*

(2) Jayant Bahadur Singh (J.B.Singh),  
Principal, Raj Kumar College, G.E. Road  
Raipur, Tehsil- Raipur, Revenue & Civil  
District- Raipur (C.G.).

**CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE**  
**CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 12 OF THE**  
**CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT.**

मामला क्रमांक.....*Cont: 213/14*...सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक ( पूर्वानुबद्ध )

| आदेश का दिनांक<br>तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अंतिम आदेश                 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                    | <p><b><u>S.B: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANJAY K. AGRAWAL</u></b><br/> <b><u>07.05.2015</u></b></p> <p>Shri Surfaraj Khan, learned counsel for the petitioners.<br/>                     Shri Manoj Paranjpe, learned counsel for respondent No.1.<br/>                     Shri Ashutosh Ghade, learned counsel for respondent No.2.<br/>                     Learned counsel for the parties submit that the order<br/>                     complained of has been complied with substantially.<br/>                     In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the<br/>                     parties, the contempt petition is dismissed as having become<br/>                     infructuous.<br/>                     Accordingly, the contempt proceeding is dropped against<br/>                     the respondents/contemnors. Rule issued is discharged.</p> | <p>Sd/-<br/> <b>Sanjay K. Agrawal</b><br/>                     Judge</p> |