

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 3111 of 2015**

- Gorelal Patel S/o Shri Rambahoran Patel Aged About 50 Years Presently Working On The Post Of Asstt. Grade 3 Office Of Janpad Panchayat Wadrafnagar Distt- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through - The Secretary, Department of Panchayat And Rural Development Mahanadi Bhawan, New Raipur, Distt- Raipur- (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Commissioner, Surguja Division Ambikapur Distt- Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Collector Balrampur District Balrampur- Ramanujganj (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Wadrafnagar Distt- Balrampur - Ramanujganj (Chhattisgarh)
5. Vikash Kashyap Assistant Grade 3 Posted At Janpad Panchayat Ramchandrapur Distt- Balrampur- Ramanujganj (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri AN Pandey, Advocate
For Respondents-State	:	Shri Sangharsh Pandey, Dy. GA for the State

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****26/08/2015**

1. In this petition, the petitioner, who is working as Assistant Grade 3 in the Balrampur- Ramanujganj District, has challenged the transfer order dated 20.07.2015, whereby he has been transfered from Janpad Panchayat Wadrafnagar to Higher Secondary School, Bartikala Wadrafnagar, District Balrampur-Ramanujganj on administrative grounds.

2. In view of the Clause 4 of the State Government's transfer policy dated 1-7-2015 for the year 2015-16, on the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to pursue the representation.
3. The authority before whom the representation lies under clause 4 of the transfer policy dated 1-7-2015 shall take the decision in the matter at the earliest, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.
5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

Sd/-

Judge

Prashant Kumar Mishra

ashu