

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

2462

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. OF 2014

PETITIONER

Bharat Aluminium Company Limited, Company registered under the Companies Act, 1956 having its Registered Office at "Aluminium Sadan" Core-6, Scope Office Complex, 7- Lodhi Road, New Delhi and its Aluminium manufacturing Plant at P. O. Balconagar, Korba (Chhattisgarh)

2462/14
Anind Kumar
12/12/14

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India through its Secretary, Ministry of Coal, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi 110 001 (India)
2. Coal India Limited 1, Netaji Subhash Road, Kolkata-700001 (West Bengal) Through its Chairman and Managing Director.
3. South Eastern Coalfields Ltd., (A subsidiary of Coal India Limited), Registered Office Vasant Vihar, Seepat Road Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh) Through its Chairman and Managing Director.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

The petitioner, above-named most respectfully submits as under:

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:**

As mentioned in the Cause Title above.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:**

As mentioned in the Cause Title above.



**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR -
DIVISION BENCH**

**CORAM: HON'BLE SHRI NAVIN SINHA, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE &
HON'BLE SHRI P. SAM KOSHY, J.**

Writ Petition (C) No. 2462 of 2014

PETITIONER : Bharat Aluminium Company Limited.
VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : Union of India & Others.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance: Shri Ashish Shrivastava, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri Narendra Kumar Vyas, Assistant Solicitor General for
the Union of India.
Shri R.K.Gupta, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1 & 2.

ORDER
(13th of January, 2015)

Per Navin Sinha, Acg. C.J.

1. This matter has been listed under the heading "office notes" in view of its being tagged with Writ Petition (C) No. 1365 of 2014 in which there were procedural issues.
2. At this stage itself, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner prays for leave to withdraw this writ application with liberty to pursue matters at a later stage, if necessary.
3. Learned Counsel for the Respondents have no objection to the same.
4. The writ application is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

Sd/-
Acting Chief Justice

Amit

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge