

(S)
A/S
2/13/15

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 2170 of 2014

M/s RGB-TGHCL (Joint Venture), Through
Its Project Manager, Sumit Kaushik S/o Lt
Shri Kanti Prasad Sharma, Aged about 36
years, R/o A-409, Arunima Palace, GH-4,
Sector-4, Vasundhara, Ghaziabad, (UP)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. Union of India Through Secretary,
Department of Ministry of Home Affairs,
North Block, Central Secretariat, New Delhi
110001.
2. Union of India Through Cabinet Secretary
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways,
Transport Bhawan, 1-Parliament Street,
New Delhi-110001.
3. State of Chhattisgarh Through The
Secretary, Department of Home Affairs,
Mahanadi Bhawan Mantralaya, Naya
Raipur, Dist Raipur, Chhattisgarh - 492002.
4. State of Chhattisgarh Through Principal
Secretary, PWD, DKS Bhawan,
Mantralaya, Raipur, Distt Raipur,
Chhattisgarh 492001.
5. Director General of Police, Office of The
Director General of Police Dist Raipur,
Chhattisgarh.
6. Chief Conservator of Forest Aranya
Bhawan, Jail Road, Raipur, Dist Raipur,
Chhattisgarh 492001.
7. Collector Kanker, Collectorate Bhawan,
Dist Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:-	Shri H.B. Agrawal, Senior Advocate with Shri Jitendra Gupta.
For Respondents No. 1 and 2	:-	Shri Narendra Kumar Vyas, Assistant Solicitor General for the Union of India.
For Respondent	:-	Shri A. S. Geharwar, Additional AG for the State.

Hon'ble The Acting Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Justice Pritinker Diwaker



Order On Board

Per Navin Sinha, Acting Chief Justice

18/03/2015

We have heard Senior Counsel for the Petitioner, Counsel for the Union of India and the Additional Advocate General on behalf of the State.

2. The Petitioner was awarded contract for widening and improvement of the Antagarh-Bedma Road at Bhanupratappur division District Kanker.

3. He was aggrieved by lack of appropriate support from the State authorities in carrying out the works because of law and order problems and also regarding concerning issues of forest clearance.

4. In view of the statements made in the counter affidavit filed by the State of Chhattisgarh giving assurance on both aspects, Senior Counsel for the petitioner does not seriously oppose the disposal of the writ petition in terms of the statements made in the counter affidavit.

5. The writ petition is thus disposed in terms of the statements made in the counter affidavit.

**Sd/-
Acting Chief Justice**

**Sd/-
Pritinker Diwaker
Judge**