

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPC No. 1404 of 2015

Vipin Bihari Agrawal S/o Late Shri Rambhajan Agrawal Aged About 63 years R/o Near High School Plari, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. The Director General Of Police, Police Headquarter, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Inspector General Of Police, Raipur Range Shankar Nagar Road, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Superintendent Of Police, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)
5. The Station House Officer, Police Station- Palari, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara (Chhattisgarh)
6. Pourush Purre Inspector, Police Station Devbhog, District Gariyaband (Chhattisgarh)
7. Kali Ram Kurre Head Constable, Police Station Plari, District Baloda Bazar- Bhatapara, (Chhattisgarh)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	-	Shri Prateek Sharma, Advocate
For Respondent/State	-	Shri R.K. Gupta, Dy. A.G.

Order On Board

12/08/2015

Heard.

1. Petitioner's grievance is that on alleged misconduct against respondents No.6 & 7, the Sub-Divisional Office (Police) had conducted an enquiry, in which, prima facie finding was recorded by him regarding misconduct, which has already been submitted before the Superintendent of Police but till date, no action has been taken.
2. Prima facie, it appears that a preliminary enquiry has been conducted by Sub-

Divisional Office (Police) Balodabazar. The report has been submitted before Superintendent of Police. The Superintendent of Police, therefore, is required to examine the report and then take appropriate decision in the matter whether to initiate departmental enquiry against the erring officials to reject the report of SDO.

3. It is expected that Superintendent of Police shall take appropriate decision in the matter in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the petition is disposed off.

Sd/-

Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

J U D G E

Rekha