

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCC No. 666 of 2015**

- State of Chhattisgarh Through: The Secretary, Government of Chhattisgarh, Department of Industries, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Post Office and Police Station Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh (**Applicant No.1/respondent No.1**)
- The Station House Officer Police Station, Civil Lines, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh (**Applicant No.2/respondent No.4**)

---- Applicants

Versus

1. M/s. A. S. Fun Private Limited (A Company Registered under the Provisions of Companies Act, 1956), Through: Its Director Ashok Agrawal S/o Late Shri Jagmohan Das Agrawal, Aged About 47 Years R/o Agrawal Bhawan, Vidya Nagar, Bilaspur, Tahsil And District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh (**Non-Applicant No.1/appellant No.1**)
2. Ashok Agrawal S/o Late Shri Jagmohan Das Agrawal Aged About 47 Years R/o Agrawal Bhawan, Vidya Nagar, Bilaspur, Tahsil And District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh (**Non-Applicant No.2/appellant No.2**)
3. Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Co. Ltd. A Public Sector Undertaking Of Chhattisgarh Government, A Company Registered Under The Provisions Of Companies Act. 1956, Through : Its Managing Director, Jeevan Beema, Marg, Pandari, Raipur, Chhattisgarh (**Non-Applicant No.3/respondent No.3**)
4. M/s. Grand Motors (A Partnership Firm) Through: Its Partner Abhinav Rishi S/o Ashok Rishi, Aged About 32 Years, Having Their Principal Office Near Tifra Railway Crossing, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh (**Non-Applicant No.4/appellant No.3**)

---- Respondent s

For Applicants	:	Shri Arun Sao, Deputy Advocate General.
For Respondent No.1 & 2	:	Shri Anjinesh Shukla, Advocate
For Respondent No.3 & 4	:	None appears.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Pritinker Diwaker
Hon'ble Shri Justice Inder Singh Uboweja

Order On Board By Pritinker Diwaker, J.

01/09/2015

Heard on MCC.

2. This application has been filed seeking extension of time to ensure the

compliance of the order dated 16.06.2015 passed by this Court in Writ Appeal No. 67 of 2015.

3. Learned counsel for the State submits that after filing of this present application, on 17.08.2015 order has already been passed by the Appellate Authority and though the direction given by this Court has been complied with but with some delay. He submits that considering the fact that the Appellate Authority has already passed the order, he is not seeking any extension of time to ensure compliance of the order passed by this Court. He further submits that procedural delay in deciding the appeal may be condoned.

4. Counsel for respondents No. 1 & 2 has no objection to the proposition as put forth by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. As the order passed by this Court has already been complied with, delay in passing the order on appeal is condoned.

6. With this, the present application stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Diwaker)
Judge

Sd/-
(I.S.Uboweja)
Judge