

①

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. (S) NO. 2715 of 2015


PETITIONER

: S.N. Sharma, son of late Banmali Sharma, aged about 44 years, presently posted as Accountant, Office of Block Education Officer, Saraipali, P.S. Saraipali, Civil and Revenue District Mahasamund (C.G.),

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : The Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)
2. Collector, Mahasamund (C.G.),
3. District Education Officer,
Mahasamund (C.G.),
4. Block Education Officer, Saraipali,
District Mahasamund (C.G.)




**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER**

As mentioned in the cause title.

2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS**

[Handwritten signature]



29

NATIONAL LOK ADALAT

Dated - 12th December, 2015

CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT CAMPUS : BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 2715/2015

Petitioner

S. N. Sharma

VERSUS

Respondents

State of Chhattisgarh and
Ors.

The petitioner S. N. Sharma in person.
Shri A. S. Kachhwaha, Addl. A.G. along with Shri R. K. Pandey,
District Education officer, Mahasamund

A W A R D

(Passed on this 12th December 2015)

The grievance of the petitioner is an order of transfer dated 20.07.2015 transferring him from Saraipali to Bhukel, Block Basana, District Mahasamund.

The District Education Officer, Mahasamund present in the Court undertakes to cancel the impugned order of transfer within a period of 15 days from today.

In the light of the submission made by the District Education Officer, Mahasamund, the petitioner submits that he does not want to pursue the matter any further.

The contesting parties to this petition have amicably settled/compromised the dispute, which is subject matter of this petition voluntarily. We have also found that the terms and conditions of compromise/settlement arrived at between the contesting parties are just, proper and legal and hence are acceptable. We accordingly accept the compromise/settlement, proposed by the parties.

Accordingly, the instant petition is disposed of as not pressed in the light of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge


Member