

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2395 of 2015

- Harishchandra Jaiswal S/o Umashankar Jaiswal, Aged about 48 years
Village & Post Akaltara, P.S. Akaltara, District Janjgir Champa
Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And
Development Department Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
- Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Council Akaltara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

WPS No. 2441 of 2015

- Pramod Kurrey, S/o Kamalchandra Kurrey, age about 41 years, Village
and Post Akaltra, P.S. Aklatra, District Janjgir-Champa

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And
Development Department Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
- Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Council Akaltara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

WPS No. 2442 of 2015

- Jagdish Yadav, S/o Saintram Yadav, age about 41 years, Village &
Post Aklatra, P.S. Akaltra, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And
Development Department Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
- Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Council Akaltara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

WPS No. 2443 of 2015

- Ramratan Yadav, S/o Saintram Yadav, age about 50 years, Village & Post Akaltra, P.S. Akaltra, District Janjgir-Champa

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And Development Department Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
- Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Council, .District Jangjir- Champa Akaltara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

WPS No. 2447 of 2015

- Shankerlal Sen S/o Pyarelal Sen, age about 38 years, Village & Post Akaltra, P.S. Akaltra, District Jangjir-Champa (C.G.)

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And Development Department Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
- Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Council Akaltara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

WPS No. 2448 of 2015

- Shrikant Dubey, S/o Vidhayadhar Dubey, age about 45 years, Village & Post Akaltra, P.S. Akaltra, District Janjgir-Champa

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And Development Department Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
- Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Council Akaltara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

WPS No. 2449 of 2015

- Kalayan Das S/o Late M.L. Das, age about 51 years, Village & Post Akaltra, P.S. Akaltra District Janjgir-Champa

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And Development Department Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
- Chief Municipal Officer Nagar Palika Council Akaltara Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	Mr. Vinod Deshmukh, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	Mr. P.K. Bhaduri, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board By

10/7/2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Grievance of the petitioners is that though the petitioners are working as daily wagers before 31.12.1997, but the petitioners' respective cases are not being considered for their regularization in accordance with the circular of the State Government dated 5-3-2008.

3. In reply to above, State counsel submits that if the petitioners file fresh representations along with copy of the petition, the same shall be considered in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above, all the petitions stand disposed of. If the petitioners file fresh representations individually along with copy of the petition, then competent authority of the respondent authorities are directed to consider and decide the petitioners' case for regularization strictly in accordance with State Government's circular dated 5-3-2008 issued in compliance of the decision of the Supreme Court in Secretary, State of Karnataka and Others v. Umadevi (3) and Others¹, on its own merits and in accordance with law, as early as possible, preferably, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of representations.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the representation, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-

Judge

(Prashant Kumar Mishra)

Shyna