

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Review Petition No. 58 of 2015**

1. Suresh Kumar Patel S/o Shri Loknath Patel Aged About 39 Years Teacher (Panchayat), Government Middle School Nandgaon, Village Nandgaon, Post Belsonda, P.S. Nandgaon, Civil & Revenue District- Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Veena Dubey W/o Shri Vijay Kumar Dubey Aged About 41 Years Teacher Panchayat Government Middle School Sher, PS Mahasamund, Block & Distt. Mahasamund, Civil & Revenue Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.
3. Deepak Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Nand Kumar Sahu Aged About 34 Years Lecturer Panchayat, Government High School Persoda Khatti, Block Mahasamund, Civil and Revenue Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.
4. Kapil Singh Dhruv S/o Shri Bhaiya Ram Dhruv, Aged About 35 Years Teacher Panchayat Government Government Middle School Lakhanpur, Block Mahasamund, Civil and & Revenue Distt. Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicants**Versus**

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Under Secretary Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
3. Joint Secretary, Panchayat & Rural Development Department, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
4. Collector Mahasamund, Collectorate Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.
5. Jila Panchayat, Mahasamund Through The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Mahasamund Chhattisgarh.
6. Keval Ram Sahu S/o Shri Gondlal Sahu Aged About 34 Years Lecturer (Panchayat), Govt. Hr. Sec. School, Khati, Block Tahsil and District Mahasamund, Civil & Revenue District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

7. Block Education Officer, District Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Shri Rahul Birtharey, Advocate.
For Respondent/State	:	Shri B. Gopa Kumar, Deputy Advocate General.

Hon'ble Shri Navin Sinha, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri P. Sam Koshy, J.
Order on Board

Per Navin Sinha, Chief Justice

09/12/2015

1. The present application has been filed to review the order dated 21.4.2015 passed in Writ Appeal No. 448 of 2014 disposed alongwith Writ Appeal No. 208 of 2015 and analogous cases.
2. Learned Counsel for the Petitioners submits that their appeal by inadvertence was disposed at the Appellant's request in terms of the order in Writ Appeal No. 208 of 2015 on 21.4.2015 when their grievance is similar to that of the Appellants in Writ Appeal No. 416 of 2014 decided today in Writ Appeal No. 32 of 2015 and analogous cases including Writ Appeal No. 416 of 2014.
3. Learned Counsel for the State rightly submits that it is a matter for the authorities to examine appropriately since the pleadings in the review application are extremely sketchy and it is not possible to decipher any facts from the same.
4. We have considered the submissions and upholding the objection on behalf of the State, recall the order dated 21.4.2015 in Writ Appeal No. 448 of 2014 but without prejudice to the rights of the Respondents to examine the contention of the Petitioners that they are similarly situated as Appellants in Writ Appeal No. 416 of 2014.
5. The review application is disposed in the aforesaid terms.

Sd/-
 (Navin Sinha)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
 (P. Sam Koshy)
JUDGE