



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

FIRST APPEAL NO. 140 /2004

Single Bench

HITESH KUMAR SAVARIYA, SON OF
LATE DAYALAL SAVARIYA, AGED
ABOUT 35 YEARS, RESIDENT OF
NARAYAN BASTA BADA, FAFADIH,
RAIPUR.

APPELLANT

[Plaintiff]

VS

1. YOGESH KUMAR TAUNK, SON OF
LATE DHANJIBHAI TAUNK, AGED
ABOUT 45 YEARS, RESIDENT OF
LAKHOLI CHOWK,
RAJNANDGAON [C.G.]

2. ABDUL GAFFAR @ MANNA SON
OF UNKNOWN, AGED ABOUT 45
YEARS, RESIDENT OF BAIJNATH
PARA, RAIPUR [C.G.]

3. SMT. HEMLATA GOEL, AGED
ABOUT 42 YEARS WIFE OF SHRI
ASHOK KUMAR GOEL, RESIDENT
OF FAFADIH, RAIPUR.

RESPONDENTS

[Defendants]

Civil Suit valued at Rs.75,800/-
Court fee affixed Rs.8,920/-
This appeal valued at Rs.75,800/-
Court fee affixed Rs.8,920/-

**APPEAL UNDER SECTION 96 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL
PROCEDURE 1908**

P. R. No. 1686/04
Presented by Shri B. P. Sharma
dated 14-1-04

the judgment and decree

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

Order Sheet

FA No. 140 of 2004

Hitesh Kumar Savariya **Versus** Yogesh Kumar Taunk and Others

12/08/2015	None for the appellant. Shri Mayank Chandrakar, counsel for the respondents. The matter was passed over once in absence of any representation on behalf of the appellant. No one appears for the appellant even in the second call. In the circumstances, there is no other option left with the court but to dismiss the FA for want of prosecution. Accordingly, FA is dismissed for want of prosecution.	
	Sd/- Goutam Bhaduri Judge	
gouri		