

①
② 21

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 2326 / 2015

PETITIONER:

Ku. Sonal Mishra,
D/o Late Shri Dinesh Mishra, Aged about 24
years, R/o House No. 235, Near Ghar Sansar
Shop, Civil Line Lalbagh, Jagdalpur, District
Bastar, C.G.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Health and Family Welfare,
Ministry, Mahanadi Bhavan, New Raipur,
District Raipur, C.G.
2. The Collector,
District Bastar, Jagdalpur, C.G.
3. Chief Medical and Health Officer,
Department of Health and Family Welfare,
Jagdalpur, District Bastar, C.G.

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA**

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 2326 of 2015**

- Ku. Sonal Mishra D/O Late Shri Dinesh Mishra, Aged About 24 years
R/O House No. 235, Near Ghar Sansar Shop, Civil Line Lalbagh,
Jagdalpur, District Bastar, C.G.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The Secretary, Department Of Health And Family Welfare, Ministry, Mahanadi Bhavan, New Raipur, District Raipur C.G.
2. The Collector, District Bastar, Jagdalpur C.G.
3. Chief Medical And Health Officer, Department Of Health And Family Welfare, Jagdalpur, District Bastar C.G.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri Alok Dewangan, Advocate
For Respondent/State	: Shri Y.S. Thakur, Dy. Advocate General

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**Order On Board****01/07/2015**

1. This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is preferred seeking direction to the respondents to consider petitioner's application for grant of compassionate appointment.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that although the application for grant of compassionate appointment preferred by the petitioner on account of death of her father on 18/03/2013 is pending, yet this petition has been preferred because more than two years have elapsed after moving the application and the petitioner apprehends that on expiry of period of three years, the petitioner may be denied appointment on the ground that such application cannot be considered after three years.

3. Considering the entire fact situation of the case, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent No. 2 to consider and decide petitioner's application for grant of compassionate appointment, on its own merits, in accordance with law at the earliest and preferably within a period of three months from the date of submission of certified copy of this order.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent-authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge