

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRCA No.553 of 2015**

1. Smt. Shamim Siddiqui, D/o Shri Mohd. Faruque, 38 years,
2. Mohd. Faruque, S/o Late Hazi Mohd. Gaus, 68 years, Both R/o Pratapdev Ward, Jagdalpur, P.S. City Kotwali, Civil and Revenue District Bastar (C.G.)

---Applicants**Versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh through: Police Station- Lohandiguda, Civil and Revenue District Bastar (CG)

---- Respondent

For Applicants	:	Shri Keshav Dewangan, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri Satish Gupta, G.A.

Order On Board**15/07/2015**

The applicants are apprehending their arrest in connection with crime number i.e.09/2015, registered at Police Station Lohandiguda, District Bastar for the offence punishable under Section 420, 467, 468, 471/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. Case of the prosecution is that by falsely stating to be representative of a society under forged certificate, supply order of uniform was procured.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are not involved in the alleged commission of offence and forgery, if any, it was done by ex-husband of applicant No.1. It is submitted that the applicant No.2 is her father and he is not involved in the incident.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail application and submits that the investigation so far made would reveal that by submitting forged document in the name of Jyoti Self Help Group, which was not found registered with the Registrar, supply order was procured.

5. Taking into consideration the submission of learned counsel for the parties, in so far as applicant No.2-**Mohd. Faruque** is concerned, his application is rejected.

6. In so far as applicant No.1-**Smt. Shamim Siddiqui** is concerned, she being a lady, her application is allowed.

6. It is directed that in the event of arrest of the applicant No.1-**Smt. Shamim Siddiqui** in connection with the aforesaid offence, she shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/ with one local surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the arresting officer, on the following conditions that:

- (i) she shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) she shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer, *and*
- (iii) she shall cooperate with the investigation as and when she is called.

Sd/-

Manindra Mohan Shrivastava
Judge