

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 1503 of 2015**

Krishna Kumar Tiwari S/O Shri Malikram Tiwari Aged About 73 years
R/O House No. 286, Senior Mig, Sector-2, Deendayal Upadhyay Nagar,
Raipur, P.S.- D.D. Nagar, Civil And Revenue District Raipur, Pin 492001
(Chhattisgarh)

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department of Commerce And Industry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Revenue And Civil District Raipur, (Chhattisgarh) Pin Code 492001
2. State Of Madhya Pradesh Through The Secretary, Department Of Commerce, Industry And Employment, Mantralaya, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh) Pin Code- 462004
3. Chief General Manager, District Industries And Trade Centre, Raipur, Civil And Revenue District Raipur, 492001

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:-	Shri Sachin Singh Rajput, Advocate
For Respondents-state	:-	Shri Y.S. Thakur, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****04/05/2015**

1. Grievances of the petitioner is that the petitioner had retired from the service of the respondents from the post of Manager District Industries and Trade Centre Raipur w.e.f. 31.03.2000. However, till date his retrial dues like gratuity and the other benefits have not been settled on account of the pending department inquiry.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that almost more than 15 years have passed that the petitioner has retired from the service and till date they have not finalized the department inquiry even though the Inquiry Officer has submitted his inquiry report. He further submits that the petitioner is not aware whether any final decision has been passed or not at least he has not been served with any.
3. At this juncture, without entering into the merit of the case, this Court is of the opinion that ends of justice would meet if a direction is given to the



47

respondent concerned to take a final decision on the department inquiry against the petitioner, if it has not been decided till date. If it has been finalized the same may be communicated to the petitioner intimating the petitioner about the decision.

4. It is expected that the authority shall considering the age of the petitioner as also the fact that the petitioner has retired from the service 15 years back take a decision on the departmental enquiry as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 4 months from today.

5. With the aforesaid observation the writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge

Santosh