

Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
WRIT PETITION [S] NO. 6338 /2014

PETITIONER:

Ashok Singh Parihar, son of Late
Madhusudhan Parihar, aged
about 48 years, Occupation-
Service, Inspector, Chhattisgarh
Khadi Tatha Gram Udyog Board,
presently posted as Manager,
Khadi Utapadan Kendra,
Saragaon, District Raipur (CG)
Civil & Revenue District Raipur.

Vs

RESPONDENTS:

1. Chhattisgarh Khadi Tatha
Gram Udyog Board, through
its Managing Director, R-14,
Anupam Nagar, Raipur.
2. The Managing Director,
Chhattisgarh Khadi Tatha
Gram Udyog Board, R-14,
Anupam Nagar, Raipur.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

मामला क्रमांक ७०१ (५) 6338/14 सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	S. B.: HON'BLE SHRI PRITINKER DIWAKER, J. 23.02.2015 Shri B.P. Sharma and Shri Anjanesh Shukla, counsel for the petitioner. Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the respondents. Grievance of the petitioner is that though he has been promoted on the post of Inspector from that of Assistant Grade-II but he has not been paid the salary of the promotional post. Counsel for the petitioner submits that several representations have been made by the petitioner in this regard but to no avail. He submits that purpose of filing this petition would be served if a direction is issued to the respondent No. 2 to decide the representation of the petitioner. State Counsel submits that let the petitioner make a fresh representation along with copy of this order to respondent No. 2 which would be decided in accordance with law. The present petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to file a fresh representation along with copy of this order before the respondent No. 2 within two weeks from today and on that being done it is expected from respondent No. 2 to decide the same as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, strictly in accordance with law. It is made clear that this Court has observed nothing on merits of the case and it would be for the competent authority to decide the representation of the petitioner on its own merits.	

Sd/-
Pritinker Diwaker
Judge

Atul