

(1)

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR (C.G.)**

M.C.C. No. 25 of 2015

APPLICANT/

Appellant

Sukhlal S/o Jhammad Uraon,
aged about 28 years, Agriculture,
R/o Village-Sohag, Tahsil-
Ambikapur, District-Surguja M.P.
(now C.G.) **(dead)** through Lrs.

- (i) Vinod Ekka son of late Sukhlal,
aged about 45 years,
- (ii) Budhan son of late Sukhlal, aged
about 42 years,
- (iii) Jajo wife of late Sukhlal, aged
about 65 years,


All are R/o Village-Sohag, Tahsil-
Ambikapur, District-Surguja
(C.G.)

P.A. No. 6005/15
Presented by Shri Ramesh Mishra
dated 20-3-15

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- :1. Palango W/o Mangal Sai, aged
about 35 years, resident of
Parsodi, presently living at Village-
Sohaga, Tahsil-Ambikapur,
District-Surguja M.P. (now C.G.)
2. Mst. Natari W/o Sokha Uraon,
aged about 20 years, R/o Village-
Sohaga, Tahsil-Ambikapur,
District-Surguja (C.G.)
3. State of Madhya Pradesh (now
State of Chhattisgarh), through
Collector, Surguja



(2)

(4)

(2)

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF THE SECOND
APPEAL NO. 73 OF 1990



(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPURDIVISION BENCHHON'BLE MR.T.P. SHARMA, &
HON'BLE MR. I.S. UBOWEJA, JJM.C.C.No. 251 of 2015Applicants/
AppellantsSukhlal S/o Jhammad Uraon (dead)
through legal representatives

i. Vinod Ekka

ii Budhan

iii Jajo

VersusRespondents

1. Palango

2. Ms. Natari.

3. State of Madhya Pradesh (Now
Chhattisgarh).Appearance:

Mr. Rahul Mishra, counsel for the applicant.

Respondents No. 1 and 2 not noticed.

Ms. Pushpa Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the
State/respondent No.3.ORDER
(01.04.2015)T.P. SHARMA, J

Heard

1. As per documents, application and submission of learned counsel for the applicant, original appellant Sukhlal has died on 16.09.2006 before dismissal of second appeal.
2. Consequently, present application for restoration of S.A.No.73/1990, dismissed for want of prosecution vide order dated 19.11.2009, is dismissed summarily reserving liberty to the applicants to take appropriate steps in terms of Order 22 Rule 9 of the C.P.C.

- 3. Certified copy of the order impugned be returned to learned counsel for the applicant after submitting duly photostate copy of the same.
- 4. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
T.P. Sharma
Judge

Sd/-
Inder Singh Uboweja
Judge

vijay

CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

