

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No.4798 of 2014**

Kumari Anita Lakra (After marriage Smt. Anita Lakra, wife of Shri Amit Kumar Gid, aged about 34 years, M.A.(Hindi), D.Ed. Occupation Service, Shiksha Karmi-Grade-II, Middle School Kharijharia, Vikas Khand Kunkuri, Janpad Panchayat-Kunkuri, Tahsil Kunkuri, Civil and Revenue District Jashpur (CG)

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralaya, Raipur.
2. Collector, District Jashpur (CG)
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Jashpur, District Jashpur (CG)
4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Kunkuri, Civil & Revenue District Jashpur (CG)
5. Vishwanath Paikra, Shiksha, Karmi Grade-II, presently promoted as Lecturer, Government Higher Secondary School-Pharsatoli, Vikas Khand Pathalgaon, Janpad Panchayat Pathalgaon, District Jashpur (CG)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Shri A.N. Bhakta, Advocate.
For Respondent	: Shri Dhiraj Wankhede, Govt. Advocate
For Respondent No.5	: Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal**Order On Board****30/10/2015**

(1) The return filed on behalf of the learned counsel for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, para-2 states as under:-

“2. The answering respondents at the outset most

humbly and fairly beg to submit that name of the petitioner does not find place in the promotion order dated 17.06.2014 due to inadvertence on the part of the answering respondents and the petitioner would be granted promotion and seniority w.e.f. 17.06.2014. It is further submitted that the petitioner had made a representation to set right the anomaly, however, the same could not be decided at the relevant point of time. The answering respondents most humbly beg to submit case of the petitioner is under consideration and appropriate orders will be passed granting seniority and promotion to the petitioner as per her eligibility.”

(2) Learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents No.1 to 3 would submit that the decision on the subject will be taken within six weeks as stated in para 2 of the writ petition and the result of the consideration would be communicated to the petitioner.

(3) In view of the abovestated statement on behalf of the counsel for the State/respondents No.1 to 3, the writ petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

Sd/-

(Sanjay K. Agrawal)
Judge

L/-