

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**FA No. 16 of 2000**

- Mahitram son of Jhaduram aged about 32 years, occupation Labourer caste Stanami resident of Gad Umariya, police station Pusour, Tehsil and Distt. Raigarh, CGT

---- Appellant**Versus**

- Pushpa Devi , wife of Mohitram aged about 28 years, Caste Satnami Occupation Service resident of Modaha Para, Tehsil and Distt. Raigarh, CG

---- Respondent

Appellant is present in person.
None for respondent.

Order of Lok Adalat**11/04/2015**

Appellant Mohitram is present in person. He showed his original Voter ID card and submitted a photo state copy of the same for the purpose of identification as his counsel is not present today.

Appellant Mohitram submits that he wants to withdraw this first appeal.
On due consideration, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

Chandra Bhushan Bajpai
Judge

Member

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CRR No. 118 of 2015

- Vikas Saraf S/o Virendra Saraf Aged About 20 years R/O Village Jhilmila, Police Station Saraipali, District Mahasamund C.G.

----Applicant

Versus

- The State Of Chhattisgarh Through The District Magistrate, District Mahasamun C.G.

---- Respondent

For applicant	: Mr. Vikash Pradhan, Advocate.
For Respondent	: Mr. Arvind Shukla, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice Chandra Bhushan Bajpai

Order On Board

07/04/2015

1. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per judgment dated 29-3-2014 passed in Criminal Case No. 103/2013, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Mahasamund convicted the applicant under Section 224 of I.P.C. and sentenced to undergo R.I. for 2 years with a fine of Rs. 100/- with default stipulation. The judgment was challenged in appeal by the applicant, and the appellate court in Cr.A. No. H-36/2014 dismissed the appeal and affirmed the conviction under Section 224, IPC and sentence imposed. As the applicant was arrested on 25-3-2015 and he has served the entire sentence, he does not want to press this revision regarding correctness, legality and propriety of the judgment and the revision may be dismissed as not pressed.
2. In these circumstances, since the appellant does not press this revision, the sentence awarded by courts below is affirmed and the revision is dismissed as not pressed.

JUDGE

PS Name

Challenge in this appeal is to the judgment of conviction and order of sentence datedpassed by thein Sessions Trial No.whereby and whereunder learned trial Court after holdingConviction is impugned on the ground that without there being an iota of evidence, learned Court below has convicted and sentenced the appellant as aforementioned and thereby committed illegality.

ddfdf

Shri for the State

Heard.

Leave to appeal is granted against the respondent.

The appeal is admitted. It be registered. Bailable warrant for a sum of Rs. to the satisfaction of the trial Court be issued against the respondent for a date to be fixed by the office.

Notice of the appeal be issued to the respondent on steps to be taken by the appellant.