

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 4665 of 2012**

- Ku. Anuradha Raikwar (Baruwa) D/o Vijay Kumar Raikwar, Aged About 26 years, Unemployed R/o C/o Smt. Rani Raikwar, Manorama Colony, Near Tulsi Hotal, Sagar, Mp

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

- State Of C.G. Through Secretary, Department Of Home Dks Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, C. G.
- The Director General Of Police C.G., Police Head Quarters, Civil Line, Raipur, Cg
- The Superintendent Of Police (Telecom) Bhilai Zond, Distt Durg, Cg

**---- Respondents**

---

|                      |                           |
|----------------------|---------------------------|
| For Petitioner       | Ms. Renu Kochar, Advocate |
| For Respondent/State | Shri S. Majid Ali, PL     |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra**

**Order On Board By**

**06/08/2015**

1. With the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard finally.
2. By this petition, the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to release retrial dues and other pensionary benefits as also the consequential benefits along with interest.
3. Learned counsel for the parties would submit that the issue involved and the relief claimed in the present petition are required to be

considered by the pension committee.

4. This Court, in the matter of W.P. No. 4642/2004 (*Baijnath Mandal v. The State of Chhattisgarh & Others*) and other connected matters, directed the Committee to consider the matter of retiral dues as the disputed question of facts were involved. The Committee was constituted by the State Government, pursuant to the order dated 19/06/2006 passed in W.P. No. 1961/2006 (*Smt. Keja Bai Chandrakar Vs. State Government and Others*) and order dated 13/03/2008 passed in M.C.C. No. 105 of 2008 (*State of Chhattisgarh & Others V. Baijnath Mandal and another*). Thus, this petition is squarely covered by the judgment and order dated 31<sup>st</sup> of January, 2008 passed in W.P. No. 4642/2004 *Baijnath Mandal (supra)* as submitted by learned counsel appearing for the parties.
5. This petition is accordingly disposed of in the same terms i.e. the office of the Advocate General is directed to send the case to the Committee, within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of the petition from the petitioner and this order. Thereafter, the Committee shall issue notice to the petitioner and the officers concerned, within a further period of 15 days. The Committee shall consider and pass order, in accordance with law, after affording proper opportunity of hearing to the parties. The petitioner and the officers concerned may file additional documents, if necessary. The entire exercise, including retiral dues of the employee and other pensionary benefits, if any, to the petitioner be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.
6. The petitioner shall supply extra copy of the petition to learned counsel appearing for the State/respondents for forwarding the same to the

Committee.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that liberty may be reserved in favour of the petitioner to move fresh writ petition if the decision made by the Committee on anyone of the claim including claim for interest is decided against the petitioner.
8. Liberty as prayed for is granted.
9. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

JUDGE

PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

Nirala