

**IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR (C.G.)**

Writ Petition (S) No. 166 of 2015

PETITIONER

: Lohar Sai son of Tumra Ram,
aged about 46 years, working as
Peon, Government High School
Mahloi, Tahsil & Block-Tamnar,
District-Raigarh (C.G.)

WPS 166/2015
P.R.No. 1224/15
Presented by Shri C.B. Rao
dated 16.1.15

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Education Department,
Mantralaya New Raipur, P.S.-
Rakhi, District- Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director, Public Instructions,
Raipur, P.S.-City Kotwali,
District-Raipur (C.G.)
3. The District Education Officer,
Raigarh, District-Raigarh (C.G.)



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**



18

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Writ Petition (S) No. 166 of 2015

Petitioner Lohar Sai

VERSUS

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present: Shri Harish Kuntiya, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Rahul Tamaskar, PL for the State.

Oral Order
(21.01.2015)

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents, in spite of having taken a decision as early as on 3.8.11 for grant of regular pay scale to the petitioner, but till date, have not implemented the same.

2. According to the petitioner, similar set of Writ Petitions have already been decided by this Court in WPS No.2854/2014 vide order dated 27.6.2014 (Annexure P-5) and the instant petition may also be disposed of in the same manner.

3. State counsel does not have any objection to the said prayer made by counsel for the petitioner.

4. Accordingly, the instant writ petition stands disposed of on the same manner as in the case of WP(S) No.2854/2014 dated 27.6.14 with a direction to the District Education Officer to take an appropriate decision in the case of the petitioner in the light of order dated 3.8.2011 within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of the order of this Court.

5. Needless to mention that the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court in case the grievance still survives.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge