

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPS No. 2624 of 2014

- Smt. Anjana Rekha Shukla W/o Shri Anil Kumar Shukla, Aged About 56 years, Occupation Service Lecturer, D.N.N.N. Girls Higher Secondary School, R/o M-06 Yadunandan Nagar, Ps Sirgitti, Bilaspur, Distt Bilaspur, Cg

---- Petitioner

Versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Principal Secretary, Department Of Urban Administration, Govt. Of Chhattisgarh, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, Ps Rakhi, Dist Raipur, Cg
- Municipal Corporation Bilaspur Through Its Commissioner, Having Office At Vikas Bhawan, Nehru Chowk, Bilaspur, Ps Civil Lines, Distt Bilaspur, Cg
- Deputy Commissioner Municipal Corporation Bilaspur, Office At Vikas Bhawan, Nehry Chowk, Bilaspur, Dist Bilaspur, Cg
- Dharamlal Yadav Lecturer In Lajpatrai Nagar Nigam Boys Hr Secondary School Corporation, Bilaspur, Dist Bilaspur, Cg
- Narendra Gupta Lecturer In Pt. Munnulal Shukla Nagar Nigam Hr. Secondary School, Bilaspur, Dist Bilaspur, Cg

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	Shri Alok Bakshi, Advocate
For Respondent/State	Shri P. K. Bhaduri, GA
For Respondents	Shri Mateen Siddiqui, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Prashant Kumar Mishra

Order On Board By

05/08/2015

1. With the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the matter is heard finally.
2. The writ petition was preferred challenging the order (Annexure-P-1) passed by the respondent No.3, Deputy Commissioner, Municipal

Corporation, Bilaspur whereby, one Dharamlal Yadav was made the officiating principal of Pt. Munnulal Shukla Higher Secondary School, Bilaspur. The said order has since been modified on 24.09.2014 to appoint one Smt. Bharti Tiwari as officiating principal.

3. Admittedly, Smt. Bharti Tiwari is neither the respondent in this petition nor the petitioner is challenging the said order.
4. By amending the writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for a direction to the respondents to make necessary changes in the gradation list as on 31.10.2012 (Annexure-P-16) and to place her above Shri Dharamlal Yadav and Narendra Gupta.
5. The relief claimed in the original writ petition has been rendered infructuous. For amended relief, the petitioner may submit a representation before the Corporation, within a period of one month from today and on such representation being filed, the same shall be considered and decided by the Corporation, in accordance with law, at the earliest, preferably within a period of three months.
6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the matter, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
JUDGE
PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

Nirala