

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 2367 of 2012**

- Manoj Kumar Mandavi, S/o late Shri Shivcharan Mandavi, aged about 24 years, caste Gond, R/o Village Puriyara, Post Pathaud, PS & Tehsil Narharpur, District Kanker (CG).

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Co. Ltd. through the General Manager, Raipur (CG).
2. The Joint Secretary (Personnel)-II Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company. Ltd., Raipur (CG)
3. The Superintending Engineer Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd., Kanker, Distt. - Kanker (CG)
4. The Executive Engineer Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Ltd., Kanker, Distt. Kanker (CG)

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	Shri DN Prajapati, Advocate.
For respondents	None, though served.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****18/12/2015**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner in the instant case was minor when his father died in harness on 11.09.2000 while working with the respondents. The petitioner, on attaining the age of majority in the year, 2007 moved an application for compassionate appointment on 15.05.2007, however the Respondent authorities have not considered the said application till date.

2. At this juncture, counsel for the petitioner submits that he has a limited prayer for a direction to the respondent authorities to consider the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment in accordance with rules.
3. Since there is no representation on behalf of the respondents, ends of justice would be served by disposing this petition with a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment and take appropriate decision in accordance with the relevant rules of compassionate appointment applicable with the respondent's establishment.
4. It is ordered accordingly.
5. It is made clear that this court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner so far as his entitlement for compassionate appointment is concerned. The respondent authorities would be at liberty to take a decision strictly in accordance with rules governing the respondent's establishment.
6. With the aforesaid observations, this petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

(P.Sam Koshy)

JUDGE