

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3192 OF 2003/

BETWEEN

P.K.Bhatnagar S/o Late Shri K.S.Bhatnagar, aged about 49 years, Retired Store Keeper, M.P.S.R.T.C. (Chhattisgarh Adhosaranchna Vikas Nigam) Bilaspur, Resident of 10/56 Chitnis Ki Goth, Subah Wali Gali, Gwalior (MP).

PETITIONER

A N D

1. The Chhattisgarh Adhosaranchna Vikas Nigam Parivahan Prabhag, Raipur (CG), Through its Divisional Manager, Raipur, Tehsil and District Raipur (CG).
2. The Chhattisgarh Adhosaranchna Vikas Nigam, Bilaspur, Through its Divisional Manager, Bilaspur, Tehsil and District Bilaspur (CG).
3. Unit Incharge, The Chhattisgarh Adhosaranchna Vikas Nigam, Raigarh, Through its Icharge, Raigarh, Tehsil and District Raigarh (CG).
4. Madhya Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation Through its Managing Director, Habib Ganj, Bhopal, Tehsil and District Bhopal (MP).
5. The State of Madhya Pradesh, through its ~~Chief~~ Secretary, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (MP).
6. The State of Chhattisgarh, through its ~~Chief~~ Secretary, Mantralay, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (CG).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. Particulars of the petitioner
AS stated in the cause title above.
2. Particulars of the Respondents :
As stated in the cause title above.

....02.



RECEIVED COPY.
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR
30/9/03

P.R. No. 3034/03
Presented by Shri. Rudesh Shrivastava
dated 30/09/03

Attested
Sd/-
AR(J)
de let as per court order at 6-11-13
Sandeep Singh
12-11-13



XI-HC-78

73

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक. WP. 3192/03 सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<u>SB:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SANJAY K. AGRAWAL</u> <u>15.01.2015</u> None for the petitioner. None for the respondents. Yesterday the matter was called on for hearing twice. Today also the matter was called for hearing twice. On all the occasions, none appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of the petitioner. The petitioner may not be interested in the matter. In view of the above, the matter is dismissed for want of prosecution.	Sd/- Sanjay K. Agrawal Judge

nder