

THE HIGH COURT OF TRIPURA
AGARTALA

W.P. (C) 70 of 2015

1. Sri Balaram Urang,
Son of Late Shib Charan Urang,
Village Indurail, PO Indurail, PS Panisagar,
North Tripura.
2. Md. Ashab Ali,
S/O Late Nachir Ali,
Resident of West Padmabil, PO Padmabil,
Jalabazar, PS Panisagar, North Tripura.
3. Shri Jitendra Sabdakar
S/O Late Mahendra Sabdakar, Village
West Padmabil, PO Padmabil Natun Bazar,
PS Panisagar, North Tripura.
4. Shri Ramesh Chandra Nath,
S/O Late Rajani Nath,
South Padmabil, PO. Padmabil Jalabazar,
PS Panisagar, North Tripura.
5. Md. Muchabbir Ali,
S/O Late Khurshid Ali
Resident of North Padmabil, PO Padmabil,
Jalabazar, PS Panisagar, North Tripura.

..... *Petitioners*

- Vs. -

1. The State of Tripura,
Represented by the Secretary,
Department of Co-operation,
Government of Tripura,
New Capital Complex, PO Kunjaban,
PS East Agartala, District – West Tripura.
2. Janamangal PACs Ltd represented
by the Manager, Uttar Padmabil, PO
Padmabil Jalabazazr, PS Panisagar, North
Tripura.
3. Election Officer, Janamangal
PACs Ltd, Uttar Padmabil, PO Padmabil
Jalabazar, PS Panisagar, North Tripura.

4. The Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Government of Tripura, Palace Compound, Agartala, PO Agartala, West Tripura.

..... *Respondents*

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. DEEPAK GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.C. DAS

For the Petitioners	: Mr. A.K. Bhowmik, Sr. Advocate. Ms. A. Banik, Advocate.
For the respondents	: Ms. A.S. Lodh, Addl. GA.
Date of hearing & delivery of Judgment & order	: 25.02.2015.
Whether fit for reporting	: No

JUDGMENT & ORDER (ORAL)

(Deepak Gupta, CJ.)

By means of this petition, the petitioners have submitted that they had submitted their Nomination forms for election to post of Member of the Managing Committee of Janamangal PACs. Their grievance is that their Nomination papers were originally accepted on 18.02.2015, but later in the same day the same were rejected.

2. Ms. A.S. Lodh, learned Additional GA on instructions received from the Registrar Co-operative Society submits that the

rejection was made under some misconception and it has now been decided to permit the petitioners to contest the elections.

3. In this view of the matter, nothing survives in this petition. It is, however, made clear that effort shall be made to ensure that the symbols are allotted to the petitioners latest by tomorrow (26.02.2015).

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

sima