

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 21537 of 2013**

=====

Lal Chand Yadav Son Of Late Bir Chand Yadav Road No. 9, Qr. No. 15,  
Gardanibag, Police Station - Gardanibag, District - Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Manager, Vikas Bhawan Canteen, New Secretariat, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

**WITH**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 21627 of 2013**

=====

Binod Kumar, son of late Chhatu Ram, Road No 6/B, Qr No 4, Block No 3,  
Gardanibagh, Police Station – Gardanibag, District - Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1 The State of Bihar
- 2 The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
- 3 The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
- 4 The Three Men Inquiry Committee through its Chairman, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
- 5 The Manager, Secretariat Canteen, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

**WITH**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 21643 of 2013**

=====

Sanjeet Kumar, son of late Saryug Ram, Resident of Bir Basawan Nagar,  
Rukunpura, Police Station – Rupaspur, District - Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1 The State of Bihar
- 2 The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
- 3 The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
- 4 The Manager, Secretariat Canteen, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

**WITH**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 21720 of 2013**

=====

Kishori Lal Gupta Son Of Late Radhe Sah Birla Colony, Phulwarisharif,  
P.S.- Phulwarisharif, Distt.- Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Three Men Inquiry Committee, Through Its Chairman, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
5. The Manager, Secretariat Canteen, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

WITH

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 21892 of 2013**

=====

Bidya Sharma S/O Sri Khenghar Sharma Road No. 2, North Patel Nagar, Police Station- Patliputra, District- Patna.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Three Men Inquiry Committee, Through Its Chairman, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Manager, Secretariat Canteen, Patna.

.... .... Respondent/s

WITH

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 22310 of 2013**

=====

Harish Chandra Mishra Son Of Late Radha Krishna Mishra Quarter No. 127/800, Lal Bahadur Shastri Nagar, Police Station - Shastri Nagar, District - Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus


1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
3. The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
4. The Three Men Inquiry Committee, Through Its Chairman, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
5. The Manager, Secretariat Canteen, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

WITH

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No 22343 of 2013**

=====



Om Prakash Prasad Son Of Late Mahendra Pd. Resident of North Patel Nagar, Police Station Patliputra, District - Patna

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State Of Bihar
- 2. The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
- 3. The Labour Commissioner, Labour Resources Department, Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
- 4. The Manager, Bihar Secretariat Canteen, Patna

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH**

**ORAL ORDER**

**2     20-03-2015**

Shri Santosh Kumar Verma, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the writ petition was filed challenging the order of suspension. During pendency of the writ petition, the departmental proceedings were concluded and the petitioners were exonerated and their suspension orders were withdrawn. That being so, these writ petitions have become infructuous and he has instructions not to press them any more.

In view of the aforesaid facts, the writ petitions are disposed of.

**(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J)**

**M.E.H./-**

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|