

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24487 of 2013

=====

Md. Imran Alam son of Late Shamshad Alam, resident of village
P.T.Dumria Panchayat Parsadpur, Mahalgaon (Jokihat), District Araria
..... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The D.M. Araria
3. The SDO Araria
4. The District Manager, State Food Corporation, Purnea
5. The District Supply Officer, Araria
6. The BSO (MO), Jokihat, Araria

..... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anamul Haque, Advocate
For the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3, 5 & 6	:	Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, AC to GA 8
For the Respondent No.4	:	Mr.R.S.Pradhan, Sr.Advocate
		Mr.A.N.Rai, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2015

Heard the parties.

In view of the nature of grievances/claims raised on behalf of the petitioner, as indicated in paragraph 1 of the writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that the interest of justice shall be subserved if the petitioner is granted liberty to file a comprehensive representation before the District Manager, Bihar State Food & Civil Supply Corporation, Purnea (respondent no.4) with all supporting documents, raising all the pleas which have been raised in the present writ petition. It is ordered accordingly.

If such a comprehensive representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner within a period of four weeks from today with a certified copy of the present order, then the respondent no.4 either himself or any other competent authority shall be obliged to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order at an early date preferably within a period of three

months from the date of filing of such representation.

If on consideration of the materials, the competent authority comes to a conclusion that the claim raised in behalf petitioner is admissible to him, then consequential orders shall also be issued without any unnecessary further delay.

It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim raised on behalf of the petitioner and it is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Tahir/-

U			
---	--	--	--