

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14821 of 2013

1. Binoy Kumar Singh S/O Late Satyendra Prasanna Singh R/O Vill+ P.O- Sumbha Deodhi, P.S- Singhia, District- Samastipur The Secretary, Managing Committee Of Kedar Sanskrit High School, Sumbha Deodhi (Dank Ghar), Samastipur, At Present Plot No. L/14, P.S- Budha Colony, P.S- G.P.O., S.K. Nagar, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar, Through The Secretary Human Resources Development Government Of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Special Director (Secondary Education), Government Of Bihar, Patna-1
3. The Deputy Special Director, (Secondary Education), Department Of Human Resources Development, Government Of Bihar New Secretariat, Patna-1
4. The Chairman, Bihar State Sanskrit Education Board Patna, Near Rajapur Pul, East Boring Canal Road, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar State Sanskrit Education Board Patna, Near Rajapur Pul, East Boring Canal Road, Patna.
6. District Education Officer, Samastipur.
7. The Then Head Master, Kedar Sanskrit High School, Sumbha Deodhi (Dank Ghar), Samastipur, P.S- Singhia, District- Samastipur.
8. Incharge Head Master, Kedar Sanskrit High School, Sumbha Deodhi (Dank Ghar), Samastipur, P.S- Singhia, District- Samastipur.

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. AKHILESHWAR KUMAR
For the Respondent/s : Mr. ROY SHIVAJI NATH

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-08-2015

21.08.2015

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Annexure-9 is the order, dated 09.03.2011, which is an order passed by the Special Director, Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna. The petitioner was an appellant before the said authority. After the initial arguments at the stage of admission, matter was adjourned, giving direction upon the appellants and the respondents to place their evidence

and facts. Thereafter, the appeal was adjourned 17 times and there was none to pursue the appeal. Finally, vide order, dated 09.03.2011, the appeal has been dismissed. The said order is under challenge in the present writ.

Maintaining litigation could be a luxury for a litigant, but system has enough of load to carry. If the litigant is not vigilant enough to pursue his remedy and assert his right, naturally such applications are required to be given some kind of finality. There is nothing illegal or arbitrary with regard to the order in question. Therefore, no interference is warranted with Annexure-9.

Writ application is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

SKM/-

U			
---	--	--	--