

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7525 of 2014

- =====
1. Satya Narayan Paswan S/o Sri Bilas Paswan, r/o Vill. - Dinara, P.S. - Harnaut, Distt. - Nalanda.
 2. Kali Baitha son of Late Rambriksh Baitha, R/o Mohalla - Rajeev Nagar, P.O. - Keshari Nagar, P.S. - Digha, Distt. - Patna.
 3. Kripalu Jee Pandey S/o - Late Mahabir Pandey, R/o Mohalla - Sheo Shambhu Nagar, Ashiana Nagar, P.S. - Rajiv Nagar, Distt. - Patna.
 4. Sudesh Kumar Lal son of Late Rameshwar Lal, R/o Mohalla - Lalti Tola, P.O. - G.P.O., P.S. - Gandhi Maidan, Distt. - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Development Commissioner, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Finance Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Principal Secretary, Mines and Mineral, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Principal Secretary, Cattle and Fisheries Resources Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindhyachal Singh

Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Pandit

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Shashi Shekhar Kr. Prasad, AC to SC-13.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 22-01-2015

Heard the parties.

In view of the nature of grievances raised on behalf of the petitioners and the reliefs sought for on their behalf, fully detailed in paragraph-1 of the present writ petition, this Court is of the opinion that the interest of justice shall be sub-served if the petitioners are granted liberty to file their separate representations with all supporting documents before the Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna (respondent no. 3) raising all the pleas, which have been raised in the present writ petition. It is ordered accordingly.

If such separate representations are filed on behalf of the petitioners within a period of one month from today with a certified copy of the present order, then the respondent No.3 either himself or any other competent authority of the respondent State shall be obliged to consider and decide the claims of each of the petitioners either separately or jointly by a reasoned and speaking order at an early date preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of such representations by the petitioners.

If on consideration of the materials the competent authority, referred to above, comes to a conclusion that claims raised on behalf of the petitioners are admissible to them, then consequential order(s) shall also be issued for grant of such admissible claims to them without any unnecessary further delay.

It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims raised on behalf of the petitioners in the present writ petition and it is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--