

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11485 of 2015

=====

Sunil Pathak, son of Sri Ramakant Pathak, resident of village Haspura, P.S. Haspura, District Aurangabad

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Registration, Excise and Prohibition Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Excise Commissioner, Bihar, Patna
3. The Collector, Aurangabad
4. The Superintendent of Excise, Aurangabad
5. Smt. Indu Devi, wife of Anil Kumar Singh, resident of village Urdina, P.S. Barun, District Aurangabad

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar, AC to PAAG

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AMARESH KUMAR LAL

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA)

2 31-07-2015 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

The issue raised here pertains to pure question of fact with regard to allegation of forgery of signatures, which cannot be properly considered in a writ application.

The writ application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

(Amaresh Kumar Lal, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--