

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13964 of 2014

=====

Narbdeshwar Singh son of Shreenath Singh, permanent resident of Village-Duroundha, P.S.- Mofassil, Ara, District- Bhojpur, at present residing at 24-Defence Colony, Kankarbagh, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna- 800020
..... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director General of Police-cum-Inspector General of Bihar, Patna
 2. Additional Director, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, 6, Circular Road, Patna (Bihar)
 3. Superintendent of Police, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, 6, Circular Road, Patna (Bihar)
 4. Magadh University, Bodh-Gaya, District- Gaya through its Registrar null null
 5. Vice-Chancellor, Magadh University, Bodh-Gaya, District- Gaya
 6. Registrar, Magadh University, Bodh-Gaya, District- Gaya
 7. Principal, R.K.D. College, Lohianagar, Patna, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna- 800020
 8. Arbind Kumar, Son of Siyaram Prasad Yadav, presently posted as Assistant Professor, Department of History, R.K.D. College, Lohianagar, Patna, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna-800020
 9. Dr. Ran Bijay Kumar, son of Suresh Chandra, presently posted as Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, R.K.D. College, Lohianagar, Patna, P.S.- Kankarbagh, District- Patna-800020
- Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Gyanand Roy, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Alok Kumar Rahi, AC to AAG 2
For the Vigilance : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the University : Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 02-03-2015 The matter was heard at length. The petitioner appears to be after the two Assistant Professors i.e. respondent nos. 8 and 9 had been appointed way back in 1983 and 1981, respectively. He made extensive reference to various events and proceedings in relation to those two persons.

We took serious exception to the manner in which the petitioner conducted himself and also observed that he does not deserve to hold the post of an academician. We proposed to impose costs on him for spoiling the atmosphere in the University and in the College.

Learned counsel for the petitioner made request to permit him to withdraw this writ petition.

Out of sheer respect to the learned counsel, we permit him to withdraw the petition. The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

However, we warn the petitioner that if he indulges in future in such activity, a serious action would be taken and even the University can initiate a disciplinary proceeding against him, on that ground alone.

(L. Narasimha Reddy, CJ)

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/-

U			
---	--	--	--