

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8953 of 2015

=====

Vijay Singh son of late Rajendra Singh, resident of village Lodi Mansurpur,
Police Station Khushrupur, District Patna. Petitioner

Versus

1. The Bihar State Electricity Board, Patna, through its Chairman.
 2. The Chief Engineer, Electricity, Bidyut Bhavan, Patna.
 3. The Executive Engineer, Electricity, Fatuhan, District Patna.
 4. The Assistant Electrical Engineer, Electricity Supply Sub Division,
Fatuhan, Patna.
 5. The Junior Engineer, Electricity, Fatuhan, Patna.
 6. The Field Officer, Electricity, Nukunpura Bahapur, Khushrupur, Patna.
 7. The South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. Through its
Managing Director, Patna. Respondent/s
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madheshwar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vinay Kirti Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 11-08-2015 The petitioner prays for directing the respondents to

connect the domestic electric line for which he has already

deposited the required amount vide Annexure 2 as he is a valid

consumer of the electricity vide Consumer ID No.1807567.

Counsel for the Company states that earlier the
petitioner was provided with electric connection. However, his
neighbours mischievously had been cutting wire. The petitioner
in paragraph 10 of the writ petition, has too stated that in night
of 4.6.2015, some unknown persons disconnected the electric.
Counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner ought to
have filed a complaint or lodge an FIR.

I find substance in the submissions of learned counsel

for the Company that the petitioner at his own level should have filed complaint against his neighbours.

The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent no.6 for connecting the disrupted lines, who would do the needful.

Shashi.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J)

U			
---	--	--	--