

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.24635 of 2013

=====

1. M/s Achemic Pharmaceuticals (India) Pvt. Ltd., 30, Adarsh Colony, Police Station- Buddha Colony, Shri Krishnanagar, District- Patna Through Its Managing Director, M.K. Sinha S/o Late Kameshwar Narayan Sinha

2. M.K. Sinha S/O Late Kameshwar Narayan Sinha, Managing Director M/s Achemic Pharmaceuticals (India) Private Limited, 30, Adarsh Colony, Police Station- Buddha Colony, Shri Krishnanagar, District- Patna.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna- 800001

2. The Labour Commissioner-Cum- Appellate Authority, Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, Labour Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Vikas Bhawan, New Secretariat Building, Bailey Road, Patna- 800001

3. The Deputy Labour Commissioner- Cum- Controlling Authority, Patna, Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972, Officers Flat, Punaichak, Patna- 800023

4. Shri Amit Kumar Sinha C/o Shri Arvind Kumar Sinha Quarter No. 3K/61, Bariyatu Housing Colony, Ranchi (Jharkhand).

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Sinha

For the Respondent/s : AC to Addl. Advocate General – 14
Mr. V.N.Sahay

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3. 27-07-2015 Heard Sri Alok Kumar Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.C. to Addl. Advocate General – 14 as well as Sri V.N.Sahay, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of respondent no. 4.

In the present writ petition, the petitioner, which is a pharmaceutical company, has prayed for quashing of an order passed in Gratuity Appeal Case No. 01 of 2013 by the Labour

Commissioner-cum-Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972. By the said order, the appeal preferred by the petitioners has been dismissed. The appeal was preferred in a case, in which, a meagre amount of rupees forty six thousand and odd was required to be paid, as gratuity amount, to the respondent no. 4.

Keeping in view the fact that payment of gratuity of a meagre amount is involved and the same has already been sanctioned in the year 2013 itself, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the matter.

The writ petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--