

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8411 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -957 Year- 2014 Thana -SASARAM MUFFSIL District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

1. Uday Kumar @ Dhanjee Singh Son of Late Ram Nandan Singh resident of village - Lerua, P.S. Sasaram (M), District - Rohtas

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

with

Criminal Miscellaneous No.8783 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -957 Year- 2014 Thana -SASARAM MUFFSIL District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

1. Ranjit Kumar @ Sukhari son of Shivashankar Prasad, resident of village- Leruan, P.S.- Sasaram (Mufassil), District- Rohtas

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Cr.Misc. No.8411 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. B.N. Pandey, APP

(In Cr.Misc. No.8783 of 2015)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sada Nand Ray

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. B.N. Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

4 22-04-2015 Heard both sides.

Petitioners seek their bail in Sasaram (M) P.S.Case No.957 of 2014 registered under Section 395 IPC.

The petitioners are not named in the first information report though their names figured during the course of investigation. From para-25 of the case diary, it appears that IME numbers of looted mobiles were furnished and on the basis

of the same, the looted mobiles were recovered from the possession of the petitioners.

It is submitted that the petitioners purchased the mobile without knowing the fact that the mobiles were looted one.

Considering the fact that the looted mobiles were recovered from the possession of the petitioners, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and accordingly, the same is rejected.

The petitioners may renew their prayer for bail after remaining nine months in jail.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

ahk/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--