

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.5218 of 2013

=====

Anil Kumar Thakur S/O Sri Krishn Jeevan Thakur Resident Of Village-
Ismilepur, Police Station And Block- Hajipur Sadar, District- Vaishali At
Hajipur At Present Posted The Post Of Panchayat Sewak (Secretary) At
Block- Sahadale Bujurg, District- Vaishali At Hajipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sri Amitabh Verma The Then Principal Secretary, Department Of
Panchayati Raj, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Amrit Lal Meena, The Principal Secretary, The Department Of
Panchayati Raj Government Of Bihar, Patna.
4. Sri Deepak Anand, The Director-Cum- Joint Secretary, Department Of
Panchayati Raj Government Of Bihar, Patna.
5. Sri Shushant Kumar, The Deputy Secretary, Department Of Panchayati
Raj, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
6. Sri Jitendra Srivastava, The District Magistrate, Vaishali At Hajipur.
7. Sri Bhupendra Prasad Yadav, The District Panchayat Officer Vaishali At
Hajipur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA
ORAL JUDGMENT

3 13-04-2015 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Having regard to the detailed explanation given in
the supplementary show cause reply in response to the
order of this Court dated 23.01.2015 this Court would
now like to close the matter specially when in paragraph
no. 35 of the supplementary show cause reply to Mr.
Pradeep Kumar the present Secretary Panchayati Raj
department has taken following stand:-

*“That it is, further, submitted that the
present Secretary of the department has not*

drawn his salary. At present, the post of Director is vacant. The previous Principal Secretary and the Director has been taking their salaries in their respective periods, but that too, in good gesture that the seniority list has been published earlier and the departmental authorities has continuously endeavoring in final publication of the list at the earliest possible time. One of the Principal Secretaries was posted in the Rural Development Department and was having additional charge of Panchayat Raj Department, hence, he did not draw salary from this department. Although there has been delay in full compliance of the order, but in view of the sincere, dedicated and committed work done by the authorities, the Hon'ble Court may please be kind enough to condone the error and omission done on part of the then answering opposite party No. 2 and 4 i.e.d the Principal Secretary and the Director of the Panchayati Raj Department."

That being so, this contempt application now stands disposed of.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

Ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--