

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.357 of 2015

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8289 of 2010

=====

SHEO PUJAN SHARMA. SON OF LATE KAPILDEO SHARMA. RESIDENT OF VILLAGE & P.O.- MUJAUNA, P.S.- DARIYAPUR, DISTRICT - SARAN (BIHAR).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. THE STATE OF BIHAR THROUGH SRI AMRIT LAL MINA SON OF NOT KNOWN, AT PRESENT THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR, VIKASH BHAWAN, BAILEY ROAD, PATNA.
2. SRI AMRIT LAL MINA SON OF NOT KNOWN, AT PRESENT PRINCIPAL SECRETARY CUM APPELLATE AUTHORITY, FINANCE DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR, OLD SECRETARIAT, PATNA.
3. SRI DHARMENDRA KUMAR. SON OF NOT KNOWN, AT PRESENT THE DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, BIHAR CUM ADMINISTRATOR, ABOLISHED, BIHAR RAJYA KRISHIN BIPANAN PARISHAD, PANT BHAWAN, BAILEY ROAD, PATNA.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajiv Kumar Singh, G.P.-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.N. SINHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 29-07-2015

In compliance of the order of the High Court dated 05.07.2010, Annexure-1 authorities of the Dissolved Marketing Board have paid the arrears of salary to the petitioner vide cheque dated 24.07.2015 amounting to Rs. 57,591/-, which has been handed over to his counsel as he earlier refused to accept the same from the authorities. Let counsel for the petitioner grant receipt in the margin of the order-sheet.

2. In case petitioner is not satisfied about the quantum of

the amount paid to him, he is at liberty to represent before the authorities concerned, who shall be obliged to dispose of the representation within two weeks of its receipt and if petitioner is not satisfied with the order disposing of the representation, then he may assail the same in accordance with law.

3. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the contempt petition is disposed of.

(V.N. Sinha, J)

Arjun/-

U			
---	--	--	--