

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20618 of 2011

=====

Jagdish Prasad Gupta aged about 62 years, Son of Late Ganga Prasad Resident of
'Amarkunj' Railway Colony, Digha Ghat, Police Station Digha, District Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Secretary, Secondary Environment And Forest
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.

2. The Bihar State Forest Development Department Corporation through its
Managing Director, Forest Development Corporation, Bihar, Patna.

3. The Chief Conservator of Forest-Cum-Managing Director, Bihar State Forest
Development Corporation Limited, 13 P.P. Colony, Patna.

4. The State of Jharkhand through its Secretary, Forest And Environment
Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi.

5. The Jharkhand State Forest Development Corporation, through its Managing
Director, Forest Development Corporation, Jharkhand, Ranchi.

6. Additional Chief Conservator of Forest-Cum-Managing Director Jharkhand
State, Forest Development Corporation having his Office At Pawan Colony, Hinu,
Ranchi.

7. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, (Employees Provident Fund
Organisation), Bihar, Patna.

8. The Chairman, the Board of Trustee Employees Provident Fund, Bihar State
Forest Development Corporation Limited, having his Office At 13 Patliputra
Colony, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-08-2015

Learned counsel for the parties are present.

By order 16.10.2014, the Court had granted liberty to the petitioner to file a rejoinder to the counter affidavit as well as the supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent no. 7.

No rejoinder has been filed on behalf of the petitioner till date.

Counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents in which the stand is that all admitted dues have been paid to the petitioner during the pendency of the writ application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that he has no instructions in the matter.

Under the circumstances, the Court can only presume that the admitted dues of the petitioner have been paid to him.

Accordingly, the application stands disposed off.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

Anjani/-

U			
---	--	--	--