

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.258 of 2014

IN

LPA 278 of 2013

=====

1. Jagdish Prasad Bhagat @ Jagdish Kumar Bhagat S/o Late Jai Mangal Bhagat,
R/o At, P.O. and P.S.- Amarpur, District- Banka

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. Minhaj Alam, the Divisional Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur
3. Mr. Budha Bhatti Kartikey Dhang, the Collector, Banka
4. Mr. Pushkar Anand, the Superintendent of Police, Banka
5. Mr. Shiv Kumar Pandit, the Sub Divisional Officer, Banka
6. Mr. Ramesh Kumar singh, the Circle Officer, Amarpur, Banka
7. Mr. Shashi Shankar, the Dy. Superintendent of Police, Banka
8. Mr. Nagendra Nath Ojha, the Officer in Charge, Amarpur Police Station Banka
9. Shiv Kumar Bhagat S/o Late Madhusudan Bhagat, Managing Director, M/S M.J. and Sons Distillery and Breweries Pvt. Ltd., R/O Basant Vihar Colony, Boring Road, P.S.- S.K. Puri, District Patna at present residing at Village- Raghunathpur, P.S.- Amarpur, District- Banka
10. M/S M.J. and Sons Distillery and Breweries Pvt. Ltd., a Company incorporated at Janki Villa, 2-A Basant Vihar Colony, Boring Road, P.S.- S.K. Puri, District- Patna and Distillery at Village- Raghunathpur, P.S.- Amarpur, District- Banka Through Its Managing Director Shri Shiv Kumar Bhagat

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prahlad Kumar Bhagat, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Avanindra Kumar Jha, AC to AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE I. A. ANSARI)

Date: 23-09-2015

Heard Mr. Prahlad Kumar Bhagat, learned Counsel,

appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Avanindra Kumar Jha,

learned Assistant Counsel to Additional Advocate General-13,

appearing on behalf of the State respondents.

By order, dated 26.06.2014, passed in M.J.C. No.5908 of 2013, the Court dismissed, with cost, the application, which has been made by the present petitioner for initiating proceedings of contempt against the respondents mentioned therein.

Upon perusal of the order, dated 26.06.2014, we find that the Court has assigned reasons, which are cogent in nature. We do not find any such error in the order, dated 26.06.2014, which would call for its review.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, review petition stands dismissed.

No order as to costs.

(I. A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

K.C.jha/-
NAFR

U			
---	--	--	--