

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.6401 of 2012

=====

1. Unemployed Engineers Association, Muzaffarpur Through Its President Surendra Prasad Rai S/O Sri Chandradeep Rai Resident Of Village And P.O. Sathiauta, P.S. Bhagwanpur, District Vaishali At Hajipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. Shri Vijay Prakash, The Secretary, Planning And Development Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Tej Narayan Ram, Chief Engineer, Local Area Engineering Organisation, Bihar, Patna.
4. Shri Ram Prakash Kumar Singh, The Superintending Engineer, Local Area Engineering Organization, Works Circle, Muzaffarpur.
5. Shri Surendra Kumar Singh, The Executive Engineer, Works Division No. 1, Muzaffarpur.
6. Shri Baleshwar Paswan, The Executive Engineer, Works Division No. 2, Muzaffarpur.
7. Shri Jyoti Prakash Singh, The Executive Engineer, Works Division No. 1, Hazipur.
8. Shri Krishnandan Singh, The Executive Engineer, Works Division No. 2, Hazipur.
9. Engineer In-Chief, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 29-04-2015

Heard counsel for the petitioner and the respondents.

In this case petitioner has prayed for initiation of contempt proceeding for violating order dated 21st September 2012 passed in CWJC No. 17671 of 2012 by which direction was given by this Court to the Engineer In-chief to consider the representation of the petitioner and to pass order in accordance with law has not been carried.

Counsel for the State has submitted that vide letter no. 2390(E) dated 10th April 2014 grievance of the petitioner has been redressed as it is

apparent from Clause 4 of the said letter that work upto Rs.25,00,000/- has been ear marked for the unemployed engineers.

As the Engineer In-chief has directed the Superintending Engineer to earmark the work worth Rs.20,00,000/- to Rs.25,00,000/- for the unemployed Engineers, counsel for the State submits that by enhancing limit, the grievance of petitioner has been redressed.

In such view of the matter, there is no need to proceed in the matter any more. This petition is accordingly, dismissed.

Jay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Shivaji Pandey, J)