

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.6722 of 2012

=====

Mahendra Pandey, son of Late Munsu Pandey, resident of village + Post Office-
Khesia, Police Station- Jataha, District- Kushi Nagar (Uttar Pradesh).

.... Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Mr. Amarjit Sinha, the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Kamal Kishore Sinha, the Director, Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. Shri Krishana Kumar Singh, the Deputy Director, Secondary of Education, Muzaffarpur, Patna.
5. Shri Ranjit Singh, the Regional Deputy Director of Education, Muzaffarpur, Bihar.
6. Shri Harihar Nath Jha, the District Education Officer, namely Bettiah, West Champaran.
7. Shri M.K. Jha, the District Education Officer, Bhagalpur.
8. The District Provident Fund Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran.
9. Shri Sudhakar Mishra, the Head Master, Hardev Prasad Singh School, Madhubani, West Champaran.
10. Shri Binod Kumar, the Treasury Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran.
11. Shri I.D.S. Dhaliwal, the Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

.... Opposite Parties.

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Milind Kumar Mishra, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Ashish Kumar Lal AC to GA-XII.
For the Accountant : Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, Advocate.
General

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-02-2015

The present contempt application has been filed for non compliance of the order dated 20.09.2010 passed in C.W.J.C. No.15544 of 2010 whereunder directions/observations were given/made that in case the representation stating details of unpaid claims is filed by the by the petitioner within a period of four weeks along with the certified copy of the present order as also the copy of



the relevant documents, if any, in support of the claim, the above respondent shall consider the claims of the petitioner as raised in the representation in accordance with law expeditiously, preferably within a period of four months on receipt of such representation so that the grievances of the petitioner could be settled without delay and the admitted dues could also be paid along with interest permissible under the rules.

Learned AC to GA-XII, appearing on behalf of the State, submits that in the light of the order dated 20.09.2010 under contempt, the representation of the petitioner has been disposed of by Opposite Party No.3, the Director, Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna, on 25.11.2014 and a copy of the same has been sent to the petitioner vide Memo No.479(Vidhi) dated 25.11.2014, which would appear from Annexure-‘E’ to the show cause filed on behalf of the Opposite Party No.3, the Director, Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna, and later on, regarding the claim of the petitioner, the Opposite Party No.6, the District Education Officer, Bettiah, West Champaran, also passed the order dated 06.12.2014, rejecting the claim of the petitioner which was also communicated to the petitioner vide Memo No.989 dated 06.12.2014 as also to the concerned authorities, which would appear from Annexure-‘C’ to the 2nd supplementary show cause filed on behalf of the Opposite Party No.6, , the District Education Officer,

Bettiah, West Champaran.

Since the order under contempt has already been complied with by the concerned opposite parties by deciding the representation filed by the petitioner, no further action is required to be taken against the concerned opposite parties. Accordingly, this contempt application stands disposed of. However, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the same, he would be at liberty to take legal recourse in accordance with law before the concerned authority for redressal of his grievance.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U			
---	--	--	--