

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Letters Patent Appeal No.2097 of 2015**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 599 of 2014**

=====

1. Birendra Kumar son of Nandlal Prasad, Resident of Mohalla- Ram Nagar (Durga Mandir Gali), P.O. Nawadah, Police Station Nawadah, District- Nawadah.

.... .... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar .
2. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Bihar School Examination Board through its Secretary, Patna.
5. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Chakrapani, Advocate  
Mr. Suman Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Manindra Kishore Singh- SC-6

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**and**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI**  
**SHARAN SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE )**

2      22-12-2015                      Heard Mr. Chakrapani, learned counsel for the appellant, and Mr. Manindra Kishore Singh, learned Standing Counsel No.6, appearing on behalf of the State-respondents.

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission to withdraw this application with liberty to make representation to the Bihar School Examination Board, Patna, which may be directed to be disposed of, in accordance with law, by making a

speaking order.

No objection has been raised to the prayer for withdrawal made by the appellant.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the appeal is hereby disposed of as withdrawn with liberty granted to the appellant to make representation to the Bihar School Examination Board, Patna, and if such a representation is made, the same shall be disposed of, in accordance with law, by making a speaking order by the respondents. The whole exercise, so directed, shall be completed within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. The order or orders, which may be passed in compliance of the direction issued hereinbefore, shall be communicated, in writing, to the appellant and the appellant shall remain at liberty to take recourse to such provision of law as may be permissible, if he is aggrieved by the order or orders passed or decision or decisions taken on his representation.

**(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)**

**(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)**

Mkr./-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|