

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.173 of 2015

=====

Anand Kumar, Force No. 11004837RT/GD, son of Sri Ramagaya , resident of village- Nayayanpur, P.O.- Arab, P.S.- Bikram, District- Patna (Bihar)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi
2. The Home Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi
3. The Director General of Police, C.R.P.F., Lodhi Road, New Delhi
4. The Company Commander, 1 R.T.C. Nimach (M.P.)
5. The Centre Commandant, 1 R.T.C. Nimach (M.P.)
6. The Officer-in-Charge, Group Centre, C.R.P.F., Nimach (M.P.)
7. The Inspector General of Police, C.R.P.F., Group Centre, Bangarsiya, Bhopal (M.P.)
8. The Deputy Inspector General A.T.C., C.R.P.F., Nimach (M.P.)
9. The Commandant, 110 BN, C.R.P.F., Awantipur (J AND K)

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ful Man Singh, Adv

For the U.O.I. : Mr. Anjani Kumar Sharan (C.G.C)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MIHIR KUMAR JHA
ORAL JUDGMENT

3 02-02-2015 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

While learned counsel for the petitioner wants to pursue the pending revision application against the order of punishment, learned counsel for the Union of India, points out that since the petitioner was not a permanent employee of C.R.P.F, no revision will be maintainable against such order of punishment.

In view of the fact, that the petitioner wants to avail the remedy of revision in this writ application is

permitted to be withdrawn to pursue his pending revision application but then it is made clear that this Court has expressed no opinion as with regard to maintainability of such revision application which must be decided on its own merit strictly in accordance with law.

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J)

Ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--